



Argument and Remarks

I am providing the argument and remarks below in response to the USPTO communication dated 10/06/2009 from Examiner Michael Lee including response to item 13 and Continuation of 13. The Argument and Remarks are reflected in the enclosed Corrected Amendments to Claims and Substitute Specification.

The language of the Claims has been amended and the present invention is a device located in-line with and directly between the originating television signal and the signal receiver and through which the television signal passes. The present invention does not include "transmitting the computer signal of Stevens to a remote television receiver" as stated in your communication. Nor does the present invention include "a device located at the location of the originating television signal". These Argument and Remarks addresses prior art and obviousness rejections.

Figure 5 depicts the flow of the television signal from the originating position at the television station, then through the present invention, items 1, 2, 5a-b and 6 located between the television station (originating signal) and the television signal receiver, then to the television signal receiver for the television. The original television signal leaves the station and merely passes through the present invention in route to the television signal receiver. The Drawings depict the fact, as does the language of the Claims, that the present invention does not include the television station or originating signal, the signal receiver or the transmitting of the television signal.